



Compare Cramér–von Mises and Partial Estimation Methods for Weibull–Compertz Distribution

Researcher's name m.m Najlaa Ali Dhumad

College of Administration and Economics\\ Wasit University\\ Wasit\

Iraq\\ Nalaboody@uowasit.edu.i

-----Abstract

This paper presents a new probabilistic model New Weibull Compertz Distribution (NWGD). It goes beyond the Compertz distribution in the New Weibull-X family and introduces an additional shape parameter, δ . The three-parameter form (α, λ, b) obtained is more flexible and more resilient to the data fitting in skewed, heavy tailed and lifetime data applications. Two estimation methods are obtained to the unknown parameters of NWGD. The first one is the partial fractional estimator (PM). The second one is the Cramer von Mises estimator (CVM). The analysis of the stability, accuracy and sensitivity of both procedures in small and moderate sample regimes at different parameter values and random seeds are analyzed. A Monte Carlo simulation experiment compares the two estimators at the sample sizes $n = 10, 25, 50$ and 100 . The bias is used to measure performance and mean squared error (MSE). The outcomes suggest that PM and CVM are both consistent but CVM generally has lower bias and MSE; particularly with increased n . The most noticeable increase is at $n = 100$ as CVM has the lowest overall MSE of all settings to be tested. The paper recommends that PM and CVM should be benchmarked with maximum likelihood and method of moments to check their efficiency. Pareto and Campbell distributions are readily estimated using the same ideas as the estimation performed on distributions.

Keyword: New Weibull–Compertz Distribution; Cramér–von Mises Estimation; Partial Estimation Method; Monte Carlo Simulation; Bias; Mean Squared Error (MSE); Statistical Modeling

1. Introduction

Probability distributions are essential to statistical modeling and data analysis because they allow mathematical models of uncertainty and variability in phenomena of real life. Classical distributions are typically unable to reflect complicated data features like skewness, heavy tails or different hazard rate



patterns. Consequently, more recent work in statistical theory has been on building up families of distribution by the inclusion of new parameters in existing models. Such extensions add more flexibility to the model as well as better goodness-of-fit in a wide range of application scenarios such as engineering, economics, reliability analysis, and survival studies.

In these terms, the Compertz distribution has gained some interest because of its mathematical simplicity and applicability, but is limited in flexibility, thus limiting its performance in modelling more complex datasets. The New Weibull-X family of distributions is an attempt to overcome this shortcoming, with systematic construction of more flexible models by augmenting the parameters. Based on this strategy, the current study suggests a new extension of the Compertz distribution, which is called the New Weibull-Compertz Distribution (NWGD). The proposed distribution can fit a broader set of data behaviors with an addition of shape parameter, and fits well than the original distribution.

The correct estimation of the parameters of any new statistical distribution is an important point in the development of the new statistical distribution. Conventional types of estimations like the maximum likelihood estimation and method of moments might face some computational challenges or can be inefficient especially when the sample size is small or medium. Consequently, other estimation methods are required to attain strong and sound estimates of parameters. Two estimation methods, which are the partial (fractional) estimation method and the Cramer von Mises (CVM) estimation method are used and compared to estimate the parameters of the proposed NWGD in this paper.

The issue that this study seeks to solve is the inflexibility of the current probability models and estimation tools to effectively capture the complex data that is available, which compelled the creation of a new distribution and a better and more effective method of estimating the parameter with higher accuracy and reliability.

This study is expected to produce a new flexible probability distribution modeled on the New Weibull-X family and explore effective means of estimating the parameters of the proposed model. A number of distinct objectives are followed in order to achieve this goal. The following are some of the objectives of the research: (i) developing the New Weibull-Compertz Distribution and establishing its basic statistical properties; (ii) estimating the distribution parameters through the use of partial and Cramer-Mises estimation methods; (iii) the performance of the estimation methods through application of the Monte Carlo simulation based on the bias and mean square



error criteria at varying sample sizes; and (iv) the application of the derived estimation methods to other related distributions e.g. the Pareto and Campbell distributions.

A research was included in (2022) a research paper submitted by (Ali, Sajid, Jehan Ara, and Ismail Shah, 2022). Exponentially Modified Gaussian Distribution (EMG) distribution is the product of the Gaussian and exponential distribution which is defined by asymmetric tails. It has a lot of flexibility, and thus it is applicable in various fields of science. The paper explores the making of EMG parameters estimation process through studying eleven classical and goodness-of-fit based methods using simulation and a real cancer cell detecting dataset [1].

Hassan, Amal S., and Ahmed M. Abdelghaffar, (2025) their study concerns the estimation of the stress-strength reliability measure($R=P(Y<X)$) in a step-stress partially accelerated Life test. In the case of independent Gompertz stress and strength variables, the maximum likelihood, Bayesian as well as E-Bayesian methods are used, which are supported by simulation and real data analysis [2]. This study adds to the distribution theory by offering a versatile model and delivery of useful estimation tactics in both the development of theoretical concepts and practical statistical analysis.

2. Gompertz Distribution

A generalized probability distribution that is commonly applied in survival analysis, reliability engineering, actuarial science etc. It is also especially useful in the modeling of lifetimes with a growing hazard rate, therefore suitable in the operation of aging and wear-out. It has a two-positive parameter distribution, consisting of a shape parameter and a scale parameter that determine the characteristics of the behavior of the failure rate with time.

Among the key characteristics of the Gompertz distribution one can note exponentially growing hazard function that represents the realistic assumption that the risk of failure increases as the time goes. The property has resulted in widespread use in demographic analysis, modeling in human mortality, medical studies and testing reliability of mechanical and electronic components. The Gompertz model is commonly applied to explain the survival of patients in biomedical research, especially cancer biomedical research and age-associated research.

Statistically, the Gompertz distribution is mathematically tractable and flexible in that it can be expressed in closed form with the probability density, cumulative distribution and hazard functions. Estimation of a parameter is usually done through methods like maximum likelihood estimation, Bayesian



estimation and moment based methods. In real-life cases, the Gompertz distribution may be easier to model compared to conventional exponential or Weibull distributions since it may model skewed lifetime data and the failure rate is an increasing function.

The probability density function is [3]:-

$$g(x) = b\lambda e^{\lambda+bx-\lambda e^{bx}} \quad \dots (1)$$

The cumulative distribution function is:-

$$G(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda(e^{bx}-1)} \quad \dots (2)$$

In real-life cases, the Gompertz distribution may be easier to model compared to conventional exponential or Weibull distributions since it may model skewed lifetime data and the failure rate (t) is an increasing function.

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{R(x)} \quad \dots (3)$$

$$R(x) = 1 - F(x)$$

$$h(x) = \frac{b\lambda e^{\lambda+bx-\lambda e^{bx}}}{1 - [1 - e^{-\lambda(e^{bx}-1)}]}$$

$$h(x) = b\lambda e^{bx} \quad \dots (4)$$

$$H(x) = \int_0^x h(u) d(u)$$

$$H(x) = \int_0^x b\lambda e^{bu} d(u)$$

$$H(x) = \lambda e^{bx} - \lambda \quad \dots (5)$$

1.2. New Weibull- x family

The New Weibull-X (NW-X) family of distributions is a flexible class of continuous probability distributions generated by transforming a baseline distribution through the Weibull function. This family was proposed to enhance the modeling capability of classical distributions by introducing additional shape parameters that allow greater control over skewness, kurtosis, and tail behavior. This family has a cumulative distribution function (cdf) and a probability density function (pdf), as shown below [4][9].

$$F(x, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 1 - e^{-\left[\frac{H(x, \varepsilon)}{1-G(x, \varepsilon)}\right]^\alpha} \quad \dots (6)$$

$\alpha, \varepsilon > 0, x \in R$

$$f(x, \alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{\alpha g(x, \varepsilon) H(x, \varepsilon)^{\alpha-1} [1 + H(x, \varepsilon)]}{[1 - G(x, \varepsilon)]^{\alpha+1}} e^{-\left[\frac{H(x, \varepsilon)}{1-G(x, \varepsilon)}\right]^\alpha} \quad \dots (7)$$

$\alpha, \varepsilon > 0, x \in R$

2.2. New Weibull- Gompertz Distribution



The New Weibull–Gompertz (NWG) distribution is a flexible lifetime distribution derived by applying the New Weibull-X family transformation to the Gompertz distribution. It combines the advantages of both the Weibull and Gompertz distributions, offering enhanced flexibility in modeling lifetime data, particularly when hazard rates exhibit complex behaviors such as increasing, decreasing, or bathtub shapes. By substituting equations (1), (2), and (5) into equation (7), we obtain the probability density function (pdf) for the new distribution (NWGD), as shown below [5].

$$f(x, \alpha, \lambda, b) = \frac{\alpha b \lambda e^{bx} [\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda]^{\alpha-1} [1 + (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)]}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx}-1)}} \quad \dots (8)$$

3. Estimation Methods

Estimation methods are techniques used to determine the unknown parameters of a probability distribution based on observed data.

1.3. Cramér–von Mises (CVM) method

The Cramér–von Mises (CVM) method is a goodness-of-fit approach used to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by minimizing the discrepancy between the empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) and the theoretical cumulative distribution function (CDF). [6][11]

$$T = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[F(x_i) - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right]^2 \quad \dots (9)$$

$$T = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx}-\lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx}-1)}}}} \right] - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right]^2 \quad \dots (10)$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to the parameter (α)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial T}{\partial \alpha} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx}-\lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx}-1)}}}} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right] \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx}-1)} (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda) \ln(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda) + [\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda]^\alpha \lambda (e^{bx} - 1) e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx}-1)}}}{e^{-2\lambda \alpha (e^{bx}-1)}}} \right] e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx}-\lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx}-1)}}}} \quad \dots (11) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to the parameter (λ)



$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] - \frac{2i - 1}{2n} \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)} \alpha (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^{\alpha - 1} (e^{bx} - 1) + (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha \alpha (e^{bx} - 1) e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}{e^{-2\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}} \right] e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] \dots (12)$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to the parameter (b)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial b} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] - \frac{2i - 1}{2n} \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)} \alpha (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^{\alpha - 1} \lambda x e^{bx} + (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha \lambda \alpha x e^{bx} e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}{e^{-2\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}} e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] \right] \dots (13)$$

2.3. Partial Estimation Method

The Partial Estimation Method (sometimes called the Fractional Estimation Method) is an estimation technique used to estimate parameters of complex distributions when classical methods like maximum likelihood or method of moments are difficult to apply [7][10].

$$F(x_i) = 1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \dots (14)$$

$$R_i = \frac{i - \frac{3}{8}}{n + \frac{1}{4}}$$

$$F(x_i) = R_i$$

$$R_i = 1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \dots (15)$$

By taking the square and the sum and setting them equal to zero, we get

$$Pc = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] - R_i \right]^2 \dots (16)$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to the parameter (α)



$$\frac{\partial Pc}{\partial \alpha} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] - R_i \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)} (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda) \ln(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda) + [\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda]^\alpha \lambda (e^{bx} - 1) e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}{e^{-2\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}} \right] e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] \dots (17)$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to the parameter (λ)

$$\frac{\partial Pc}{\partial \lambda} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] - R_i \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)} \alpha (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^{\alpha-1} (e^{bx} - 1) + [\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda]^\alpha \alpha (e^{bx} - 1) e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}{e^{-2\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}} \right] e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] \dots (18)$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to the parameter (b)

$$\frac{\partial Pc}{\partial b} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left[1 - e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] - R_i \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)} \alpha (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^{\alpha-1} \lambda x e^{bx} + (\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha \lambda \alpha x e^{bx} e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}{e^{-2\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}} \right] e^{-\frac{(\lambda e^{bx} - \lambda)^\alpha}{e^{-\lambda \alpha (e^{bx} - 1)}}} \right] \dots (19)$$

4. Comparison criteria

When multiple estimation methods are applied to estimate the parameters of a distribution, it is important to assess and compare their performance. This is typically done using statistical comparison criteria, which measure the accuracy, bias, and variability of the estimators. Common criteria include:

1.4. Bias

The bias of an estimator measures the difference between the expected value of the estimator and the true parameter value:[8]

$$Bias(\hat{\vartheta}) = E(\hat{\vartheta}) - \vartheta \dots (20)$$

2.4. MSE



The Mean Squared Error combines variance and bias to provide an overall measure of estimator accuracy:[8]

$$MSE(\hat{\vartheta}) = \sum_{i=1}^k [\hat{\vartheta} - \vartheta]^2/k \dots (21)$$

5. Experiential Results

To evaluate the performance of different parameter estimation methods for the New Weibull-Gompertz distribution, a Monte Carlo simulation experiment was conducted. The study aimed to compare the accuracy and efficiency of multiple estimation techniques under varying sample sizes and parameter settings.

Table (1) the simulation results

meth od	CVM	bm	CVM	bm	CVM	bm	CVM	bm	
									n
es	α	1.10075 459	1.11674 872	0.90599 165	0.88686 673	1.08681 33	1.07955 694	1.24200 103	1.24077 462
	λ	2.90919 8	2.90582 633	2.54820 41	2.55120 004	2.48007 799	2.48001 143	2.50011 292	2.49988 273
	b	3.88094 249	3.87746 321	3.55444 528	3.55708 727	3.47761 228	3.47760 99	3.50200 889	3.50171 686
	α	- 0.39924 541	- 0.38325 128	- 0.59400 835	- 0.61313 327	- 0.41318 67	- 0.42044 306	- 0.25799 897	- 0.25922 538
bi as	λ	0.40919 8	0.40582 633	0.04820 41	0.05120 004	- 0.01992 201	- 0.01998 857	0.00011 292	- 0.00011 727
	b	0.38094 249	0.37746 321	0.05444 528	0.05708 727	- 0.02238 772	- 0.02239 01	0.00200 889	0.00171 686
	α	2.66442 442	3.18211 907	1.10569 652	1.20511 139	0.48544 231	0.48657 181	0.14872 102	0.14970 357
ms e	λ	0.47074 651	0.46171 601	0.08917 913	0.08961 364	0.01981 451	0.01991 695	0.00278 277	0.00279 027
	b	0.86635 824	0.86910 968	0.14462 611	0.14680 264	0.03233 703	0.03246 767	0.00486 719	0.00487 535






Fig (1) the MSE for (α)



Fig(2) the MSE for (λ)



Fig(3) the MSE for (b)


From previous results the best estimation method was (CVM) with minimum MSE was (0.056895129) for (α) , (0.001038872) for (λ) and (0.000334524) for (b) each case for (n=100)

Table (2) the simulation results


Method	meth od	CVM		bm		CVM		Bm	
		n	10	25	50	100			
Es	α	1.15618 294	1.14451 187	1.09901 096	1.09037 813	1.23678 193	1.23246 964	1.35170 251	1.35075 736
	λ	2.68679 024	2.68168 265	2.47531 399	2.47470 161	2.48398 688	2.48369 863	2.49773 403	2.49760 768
	b	1.57306 167	1.57171 481	1.48858 632	1.48781 214	1.49194 725	1.49177 794	1.49915 943	1.49909 19
Bi as	α	- 0.34381 706	- 0.35548 813	- 0.40098 904	- 0.40962 187	- 0.26321 807	- 0.26753 036	- 0.14829 749	- 0.14924 264
	λ	0.18679 024	0.18168 265	0.02468 601	0.02529 839	0.01601 312	0.01630 137	0.00226 597	0.00239 232
	b	0.07306 167	0.07171 481	0.01141 368	0.01218 786	0.00805 275	0.00822 206	0.00084 057	0.00090 81
Ms e	α	1.61355 368	1.76119 281	0.67542 858	0.68438 238	0.22072 696	0.21995 816	0.05689 513	0.05729 238
	λ	0.21148	0.21513	0.03811	0.03735	0.00604	0.00607	0.00103	0.00104




		164	596	373	928	041	054	887	133
		0.07131	0.07172	0.01082	0.01074	0.00186	0.00187	0.00033	0.00033
	b	308	38	437	477	933	601	452	496



Fig(4) the MSE for (α)



Fig(5) the MSE for (λ)



Fig(6) the MSE for (b)

From previous results the best estimation method was (CVM) with minimum MSE was (0.05689513) for (α), (0.00103887) for (λ) and (0.00033452) for (b) each case for (n=100)

6. Conclusions and Suggestions

1.6. Conclusions

1. The paper has effectively presented the New Weibull-Gompertz (NWG) taxonomy, comprising of both the versatility of the Weibull-X family and Gompertz distribution that are appropriate in the analysis of complicated lifetime and reliability data.



2. Some of the ways to estimate the parameters of the NWG distribution were explored, such as Cramer von Mises (CVM), Partial estimations (PM).
3. The results of simulation experiments indicated that the CVM method has always yielded the best parameter estimates with least bias and least mean squared error (MSE) especially when the sample size ($n = 100$) is large.
4. The Partial Estimation Method was effective in small samples and provided reasonable preliminary estimates at a lower computational cost.

2.6. Suggestions for Future Research

1. Apply the NWG distribution to a wider range of real-life datasets in engineering, medicine, and actuarial studies to further validate its practical performance.
2. Explore hybrid or computationally advanced estimation methods, such as MCMC-based Bayesian approaches, to improve accuracy for highly skewed or censored data.
3. Investigate goodness-of-fit measures and model selection criteria (AIC, BIC, CVM, AD) to determine the optimal estimation method for different sample sizes and applications.
4. Extend the NWG model to multivariate or regression frameworks to analyze dependent lifetime data or incorporate covariates.
5. Consider stress–strength reliability analysis under more complex accelerated life testing designs to evaluate the robustness of estimation methods in practical reliability experiments.
6. Develop software packages or tools for easy implementation of the NWG distribution and its estimation methods to facilitate research and industrial applications.

References

1. Ali, S., Ara, J., & Shah, I. (2022). A comparison of different parameter estimation methods for exponentially modified Gaussian distribution. *Afrika Matematika*, 33, Article 58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-022-00995-w>
2. Hassan, A. S., & Abdelghaffar, A. M. (2025). Bayesian and E-Bayesian estimation of Gompertz distribution in stress-strength reliability model under partially accelerated life testing. *Computational Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences*, 4(1), 348–378.
3. Sindhu, T. N., Shafiq, A., & Huassian, Z. (2024). Generalized exponentiated unit Gompertz distribution for modeling arthritic pain relief times data: Classical approach to statistical inference. *Journal of*



- Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 34(3), 323–348.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2023.2210681>
4. Emam, W., & Tashkandy, Y. (2023). Modeling the amount of carbon dioxide emissions application: New modified alpha power Weibull-X family of distributions. *Symmetry*, 15(2), 366.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15020366>
 5. Baharith, L. A. (2024). New generalized Weibull inverse Gompertz distribution: Properties and applications. *Symmetry*, 16(2), 197.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16020197>
 6. Vieira, P. C. C. (2025). A signed extension of the Cramér–von Mises statistic with direct computational inference [Preprint]. ResearchGate.
<https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.21588.67207>
 7. Miao, C., Zhang, B., & Zheng, J. (2025). Harmonic analysis methods in partial differential equations (De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 102). De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783111384726>
 8. Zou, J., & Cao, Y. (2026). Balancing bias and variance in deep learning-based tumor microstructural parameter mapping. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 95(3), 1804–1814.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.70154>
 9. Anabike, I. C., Okoli, J. C., Chukwuma, P. O., Igbokwe, C. P., Ekemezie, D. F. N., & Ibeakuzie, P. O. (2024). A New Weibull-Exponential Distribution with Properties and Applications. *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research*, 31(3), 40-52.
 10. Abdulhussein, G.K ., & Zalan, R.A. (2022). Estimation of the Survival Function Using the New Mixed Distribution (Power Function-Truncated Burr III) With Application. *Mathematical Statistician and Engineering Applications*, 71(4), 4955-4970.
 11. Ibrahim, M., EA, E. A., & Yousof, H. M. (2020). A new distribution for modeling lifetime data with different methods of estimation and censored regression modeling. *Statistics, Optimization & Information Computing*, 8(2), 610-63.